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#### Abstract

We introduce a multiparametric generalization of the non-standard $R$ matrix of $\mathrm{s}(n)$. Applying the method of Faddeev-Reshetikhin-Takhtajan (FRT) to this $R$ matrix, we construct the quantum group associated to it. The new feature of this quantum group is that upon a øertain choice of the parameters, there can be nilpotent elements in it which is a sign of some hidden superstructure.


By now quantum groups [1-3] have been recognized as a very powerful tool of modern mathematical physics. The $q$-deformation of the universal enveloping algebras of type $A_{n}, B_{n}, C_{n}$ and $D_{n}$, were first given by Drinfeld [1] and Jimbo [2]. Since then there has been a great deal of activity on constructing new examples of quantum groups [4]. For constructing new examples one of the most powerful techniques is the method of Faddeev, Reshetikhin and Takhtajan [3], hereafter called the FRT method in this letter.

A class of new examples, the so-called non-standard or exotic quantum groups were studied in [5]. These quantum groups were based on exotic solutions of quantum Yang-Baxter equation (QYBE). In [5] the quantum groups associated with the nonstandard $R$ matrices of the series $B_{n}, D_{n}$ and $C_{n}$ were derived using a method developed in [6]. Obviously the relations derived in [5] are insufficient to characterize an algebra, in particular it is not true to call the relations ( $X_{i}^{2}=0, i=1, \ldots, n$ ) 'Serre relations in a particular representation'. Also the relations of [5] are not complete in the sense that the commutation relations of all different simple roots, like $X_{i}$ and $X_{i \pm 1}$ are not given (and this is what Serre relations do for $\mathrm{Sl}(n)$ ), which means that an analogue of Poincare-Birkhoff-Wit basis can not be constructed. In this letter we derive the quantum group associated with the multiparametric generalization of the $\mathrm{SL}(n) R$ matrix, using the method of Faddeev-Reshetikhin-Takhtajan (FRT). In particular we show how deformed Serre relations are modified when one considers non-standard solutions of QYBE.

We should emphasize that multiparametric quantization of $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ has been studied by many authors $[7-10,13]$. In this letter we show how the quantum group associated with a class of exotic $R$ matrices (i.e. those whose classical limit is not the identity matrix) can be constructed in detail and how it can be multiparametrized consistently. The new feature of this quantum group is that upon a certain choice of the parameters, there can be nilpotent elements in its structure.

The structure of this letter is as follows: first we introduce the multiparametric generalization of the SL $(n) R$ matrix, and discuss its properties. Then we apply the method of FRT to the one parametric form of this $R$ matrix and construct the generalization of the universal enveloping algebra of $A_{n-1} \equiv \mathrm{Sl}(n)$, which we call $X_{q}\left(A_{n-1}\right) .\left(U_{q}\left(A_{n-1}\right)\right.$ is then a special case of of $X_{q}\left(A_{n-1}\right)$ when we set all $q_{i}$ s equal to $q$ ). Next, we show how the structure of $X_{q}\left(A_{n-1}\right)$ can be multiparametrized and finally we study the dual algebra, the algebra which is of function algebra type.

Consider the following generalization of the $\operatorname{sl}(n) R$ matrix:
$R\left(p_{i j}, q_{i}\right)=\sum_{i \neq j} p_{i j} e_{j j} \otimes e_{i i}+\sum_{i} q_{i} e_{i i} \otimes e_{i i}+\left(q-q^{-1}\right) \sum_{i<j} e_{j i} \otimes e_{i j}$
where $p_{i j}=p_{i j}^{-1}$, and each $q_{i}$ can independently be equal to $q$ or $-q^{-1}$.
This $R$ matrix satisfies QYBE (see appendix) and has ( $n(n-1) / 2$ ) +1 parameters. The ordinary $R$ matrix of $\mathrm{sl}\left(n_{q}\right.$ is obtained from the above $R$ matrix by setting all $p_{i j} s$ equal to 1 and all $q_{i}$ s to $q$. It also has the following property:

$$
R\left(p_{i j}, q_{i}\right)^{-1}=R\left(p_{i j}^{-1}, q_{i}^{-1}\right) .
$$

The corresponding Braid matrix ( $B=P R$, where $P$ is the permutation matrix) satisfies the quadratic equation (or skein relation in the language of Knot theory):

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{2}=\left(q-q^{-1}\right) B+1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

When a $q_{i}$ is $-q^{-1}$ we call it a twisted parameter.
We start with the following ansatz for the $L$ matrices.

$$
\begin{align*}
& L^{+}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i} e_{i i} \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{n} w\left(\frac{q_{i}}{q_{i+1}}\right)^{1 / 4}\left(k_{i} k_{i+1}\right)^{1 / 2} X_{i}^{+} e_{i, i+1}+\sum_{i<j-1} w\left(\frac{q_{i}}{q_{j}}\right)^{1 / 4}\left(k_{i} k_{j}\right)^{1 / 2} E_{i j}^{+} e_{i j}  \tag{4}\\
& L^{-}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i}^{-1} e_{i i} \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n} w\left(\frac{q_{i}}{q_{i+1}}\right)^{1 / 4}\left(k_{i} k_{i+1}\right)^{-1 / 2} X_{i}^{-} e_{i+1, i}-\sum_{i-1>j} w\left(\frac{q_{i}}{q_{j}}\right)^{1 / 4}\left(k_{i} k_{j}\right)^{1 / 2} E_{i j}^{-} e_{i j}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(e_{i j}\right)_{k l}=\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}$ and $w=\left(q-q^{-1}\right)$.
From the basic equations of the FRT:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R L_{2}^{ \pm} L_{1}^{ \pm}=L_{1}^{ \pm} L_{2}^{ \pm} R \quad R L_{2}^{+} L_{1}^{-}=L_{1}^{-} L_{2}^{+} R \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{i} k_{j}=k_{j} k_{i}  \tag{7}\\
& \left(q_{i}-q_{i+1}\right) X_{i}^{ \pm 2}=0  \tag{8}\\
& k_{i} X_{j}^{ \pm}=X_{j}^{ \pm} k_{i} \quad i \neq j, j+1  \tag{9}\\
& X_{i}^{ \pm} X_{j}^{ \pm}=X_{j}^{ \pm} X_{i}^{ \pm} \quad|i-j| \geqslant 2  \tag{10}\\
& k_{i} X_{i}^{ \pm}=q_{i}{ }^{\mp} X_{i}^{ \pm} k_{i}  \tag{11}\\
& k_{i+1} X_{i}^{ \pm}=q_{i+1}{ }^{ \pm 1} X_{i}^{ \pm} k_{i+1}  \tag{12}\\
& {\left[X_{i}^{+}, X_{j}^{-}\right]=\delta_{i j} \frac{k_{i+1} k_{i}^{-1}-k_{i} k_{i+1}^{-1}}{q-q^{-1}}}  \tag{13}\\
& q_{i+1}^{-1 / 2} X_{i} X_{i+1}-q_{i+1}^{1 / 2} X_{i+1} X_{i}=-E_{i, i+2}  \tag{14}\\
& q_{i}^{1 / 2} X_{i} E_{i, i+2}=q_{i}^{-1 / 2} E_{i, i+2} X_{i}  \tag{15}\\
& q_{i+2}^{-1 / 2} X_{i+1} E_{i, i+2}=q_{i+2}^{1 / 2} E_{i, i+2} X_{i+1} . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Serre relations, which are the result of eliminating $E_{i, i+2}$ in equations(14)-(16) after a little algebra take the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i} X_{i}^{ \pm} X_{i \pm 1}^{ \pm}-\left(1+q_{i} q_{i+1}\right) X_{i}^{ \pm} X_{i \pm 1}^{ \pm} X_{i}^{ \pm}+q_{i+1} X_{i \pm 1}^{ \pm} X_{i}^{ \pm 2}=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with relations between all the elements $E_{i j}$ being supressed. The Hopf structure is then:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta\left(k_{i}\right)=k_{i} \otimes k i  \tag{18}\\
& \Delta\left(X_{i}^{ \pm}\right)=\left(k_{i} k_{i+1}^{-1}\right)^{1 / 2} \otimes X_{i}^{ \pm}+X_{i}^{ \pm} \otimes\left(k_{i+1}^{-1} k_{i}\right)^{-1 / 2}  \tag{19}\\
& \epsilon\left(k_{i}\right)=1 \quad \epsilon\left(X_{i}^{ \pm}\right)=0  \tag{20}\\
& S\left(k_{i}\right)=k_{i}^{-1} \quad S\left(X_{i}^{+}\right)=-\left(q_{i} q_{i+1}\right)^{1 / 2} X_{i}^{+} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

In the standard case (all $q_{i}=q$ ), these relations immediately lead to the $U_{q}\left(A_{n-1}\right)$ provided that one identifies $k_{i+1} k_{i}^{-1}$ with $q^{H_{i}}$

It is clear that once $k_{1}$ is determined all the other $k_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ can be determined.
Let us take

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}=q^{\sum \alpha_{i} H_{t}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine $\alpha_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ we note that in the algebra of $\mathrm{sl}(n)_{q}$ one has $\left[H_{i}, X_{j}^{+}\right]=a_{i j} X_{j}^{+}$, where $a_{i j}$ is the Cartan matrix of $\operatorname{sl}(n)$. Comparing (22) with the commutation relations of $k_{1}$ and $X_{j} \mathrm{~s}$; equations (9)-(12) one obtains the following system of equations for $\alpha_{i} s(i=1,2, \ldots n-1)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\alpha_{i-1}+2 \alpha_{i}-\alpha_{i+1}=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where by convention $\alpha_{0}=\alpha_{n}=0$. Solving this system of equations gives: $\alpha_{i}=-(n-i / n)$, and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{1}=q^{(-1 / n) \sum_{i=1}^{i=N \sim I}(n-i) H_{1}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

One then obtains:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{i}=q^{\left(H_{1}+H_{2}+H_{3}+\ldots . . H_{3}-1\right)} k_{1} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the identification of generators in the FTR approach which leads to the deformation of $\mathrm{s}(n)$ in the Chevalley basis:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[H_{i}, H_{j}\right]=0}  \tag{26}\\
& {\left[H_{i}, X_{j}^{ \pm}\right]= \pm a_{i j} X_{j}^{ \pm}}  \tag{27}\\
& {\left[X_{i}^{ \pm}, X_{j}^{ \pm}\right]=0 \quad \text { if } \quad a_{i j}=0}  \tag{28}\\
& {\left[X_{i}^{+}, X_{j}^{-}\right]=\delta_{i j} \frac{q^{H}-q^{-H_{i}}}{q-q^{-1}}} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

together with the generalized Serre relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i}^{ \pm 2} X_{i \pm 1}^{ \pm}-\left(q+q^{-1}\right) X_{i}^{ \pm} X_{i \pm 1}^{ \pm} X_{i}^{ \pm}+X_{i \pm 1}^{ \pm} X_{i}^{ \pm 2}=0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the case of $X_{q}\left(A_{n-1}\right)$ Lets identify $k_{i+1} / k_{i}$ with $q^{H_{r}} \Theta_{i}$ where $H_{i}$ sare the gencrators of the Cartan subalgebra and $\Theta_{i}(i=1, \ldots, N-1)$ are new generators still in the Cartan subgroup, which we call twist generators, and their commutation relations are to be determined. From equations (9)-(12), consistency of the above identification requires the following commutation relations for $\Theta_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Theta_{i} X_{i}=\frac{q_{i} q_{i+1}}{q^{2}} X_{i} \Theta_{i}  \tag{31}\\
& \Theta_{i} X_{i+1}=\frac{q_{i}}{q_{i+1}} X_{i+1} \Theta_{i}  \tag{32}\\
& \Theta_{i} X_{i-1}=\frac{q}{q_{i}} X_{i-1} \Theta_{i}  \tag{33}\\
& \Theta_{i} X_{j}=X_{j} \Theta_{i} \quad|i-j| \geqslant 2 \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

which can be written compactly as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{i} X_{j}=\omega_{i j} X_{j} \Theta_{i} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{i j}=\frac{q_{i}^{\delta_{i j}-\delta_{i-1, j}} q_{i+1}^{\delta_{i j}-\delta_{i+1, j}}}{q^{a_{i j}}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call $\omega_{i j}$ the twisting matrix.
Now it is easy to find the operators $\Theta_{i}$. Setting $\Theta_{i}=e^{\Sigma c_{i k} H_{k}}$ and $\omega_{i j}=e^{t_{i j}}$ one finds that $\sum c_{i k} a_{k j}=t_{i j}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{i}=\prod_{j, k} \omega_{i j}^{a_{j k}^{-1} H_{k}} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The algebra of non-standard $X_{q}\left(A_{n-1}\right)$ now becomes:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[H_{i}, H_{j}\right]=0}  \tag{38}\\
& {\left[H_{i}, X_{j}^{ \pm}\right]= \pm a_{i j} X_{j}^{ \pm}}  \tag{39}\\
& {\left[X_{i}^{ \pm}, X_{j}^{ \pm}\right]=0 \quad \text { if } \quad a_{i j}=0}  \tag{40}\\
& {\left[X_{i}^{+}, X_{j}^{-}\right]=\delta_{i j} \frac{q^{H_{i}} \Theta_{i}-q^{-H_{i} \Theta_{i}^{-1}}}{q-q^{-1}}}  \tag{41}\\
& \left(q_{i}-q_{i+1}\right)\left(X_{i}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

together with the generalized Serre' relations (17): (it is very interesting to note that when a parameter $q_{i}$ is twisted the Serre relations (17) become vacuous. To circumvent this problem one must quantize the algebra in the Cartan Weyl Basis. This has been done in [11]). The Hopf structure is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta\left(H_{i}\right)=H_{i} \otimes 1+1 \otimes H_{i}  \tag{43}\\
& \Delta\left(X_{i}^{ \pm}\right)=q^{-H_{i} / 2} \Theta_{i}^{-1 / 2} \otimes X_{i}^{ \pm}+X_{i}^{ \pm} \otimes q^{H_{i} / 2} \Theta_{i}^{1 / 2}  \tag{44}\\
& \epsilon\left(H_{i}\right)=\epsilon\left(X_{i}^{+}\right)=0 \quad \epsilon(1)=1  \tag{45}\\
& S\left(H_{i}\right)=-H_{i}  \tag{49}\\
& S\left(X_{i}^{+}\right)=-\left(q_{i} q_{i+1}\right)^{1 / 2} X_{i}^{+} \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(\Theta_{i}\right)=\Theta_{i} \otimes \Theta_{i} \quad \epsilon\left(\Theta_{i}\right)=1 \quad S\left(\Theta_{i}\right)=\Theta_{i}^{-1} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be easily verified that with the above definitions, all the axioms of Hopf algebra are satisfied [1].

Note the following two special cases:
Case (i). If all $q_{i} s=q$, then $\omega_{i j}=1$ and all $\Theta_{i} s$ can be identified with unity, and the relations (38)-(47) will become the usual relations of $\operatorname{SL}(n)_{q}$.

Case (ii). If all $q_{i} s=-q^{-1}$, from the form of $R$ matrix, one expects that the quantum group $\mathrm{SL}(n)_{q}$ will not be twisted, but only its parameter of deformation will become $-q^{-1}$. This is indeed true. Since in this case:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{i j}=\frac{\left(-q^{-1}\right)^{2 \delta_{i j}-\delta_{i-1, j}-\delta_{i+1, j}}}{q^{a_{i j}}}=\frac{\left(-q^{-1}\right)^{a_{i j}}}{q^{a_{i j}}}=\left(-q^{-2}\right)^{a_{i j}} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence one can identify $\Theta_{i}$ with $\left(-q^{2}\right)^{H_{1}}$. Inserting this value of $\Theta_{i}$ in relations (38)-(47) one arrives at the expected result mentioned above.

Now, we use the method of multiparametrization of Hopf algebras proposed in [12]. This method is essentially a generalization of the twisting of Hopf algebras proposed by Reshetikhin in [13].

Let $Q_{i}$ be operators in the Cartan subgroup, with the following commutation relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{i} X_{j}^{ \pm}=s_{i j}^{ \pm 1} X_{j}^{ \pm} Q_{i} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{i j}$ are arbitrary complex numbers.

We define a new coproduct $\Delta_{0}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{0}\left(H_{i}\right)=H_{i} \otimes 1+1 \otimes H_{i}  \tag{51}\\
& \Delta_{0}\left(X_{i}^{+}\right)=q^{-H_{i} / 2} \Theta_{i}^{-1 / 2} Q_{i}^{-1} \otimes X_{i}^{+}+X_{i}^{+} \otimes q^{H_{i} / 2} \Theta_{i}^{1 / 2} Q_{i}  \tag{52}\\
& \Delta_{0}\left(X_{i}^{-}\right)=q^{-H_{i} / 2} \Theta_{i}^{-1 / 2} Q_{i} \otimes X_{i}^{-}+X_{i}^{-} \otimes q^{H_{i} / 2} \Theta_{i}^{1 / 2} Q_{i}^{-1} . \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

Now it is easily verified that all the commutation relations, equations (38)-(42) are compatible with the new coproduct. That is $\Delta_{0}[a, b]=\left[\Delta_{0}(a), \Delta_{0}(b)\right]$, provided that we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{i j} s_{j i}=1 \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i$ and $j$. In particular $s_{i i}= \pm 1$, from which it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{i} X_{i}^{ \pm}= \pm X_{i}^{ \pm} Q_{i} . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above constraint immediately reduces the number of parameters to $r((r-1) / 2)$ where $r=n-1$.

Let us determine the operators $Q_{i}$. For the present we restrict oursclves to the solution $s_{i i}=1$ For this particular choice our ansatz (50) exactly reproduces the results of Reshetikhin in [13].

By a simple use of Baker-Hausdorf formula we find:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{i}=\mathrm{e}^{\sum t_{i j} a_{j k}^{-1} H_{k}} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{i j}=\mathrm{e}^{t_{i j}}$ and a sum over repeated indices is understood. Note that due to (54) the matrix $t_{i j}$ is antisymmetric. It has been shown in [12] how this coproduct induces a quasitriangular structure [1] on the Hopf algebra.

The dual algebra [3] is generated by the elements of a matrix $T$ subject to the commutation relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R T_{1} T_{2}=T_{2} T_{1} R \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

and equipped with the Hopf structure:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(t_{i j}\right)=t_{i k} \otimes t_{k j} \quad \epsilon\left(t_{i j}\right)=\delta_{i j} \quad S\left(t_{i j}\right)=t_{i j}^{-1} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving equation (57) for the $R$-matrix (1), we obtain the following commutation relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(q_{i}-q_{j}\right) t_{i j}^{2}=0  \tag{59}\\
& t_{i j} t_{k j}=q_{j} p_{i k} t_{k j} t_{i j} \quad i<k  \tag{60}\\
& t_{i j} t_{i k}=q_{i} p_{k j} t_{i k} t_{i j} \quad j<k  \tag{61}\\
& t_{i j} t_{k l}=p_{i k} p_{l j} t_{k l} t_{i j} \quad i<k \quad l<j \quad  \tag{62}\\
& p_{j l} t_{i j} t_{k l}-p_{i k} t_{k l} t_{i j}=\left(q-q^{-1}\right) t_{i l} t_{k j} \quad i<k \quad j<l . \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

In the special case that only the parameters $q_{i}, i=1,2 \ldots+m$ are twisted let us write the matrix $T$ in the form:

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & B  \tag{64}\\
C & D
\end{array}\right)
$$

where A and D are $m \times m$ and $(n-m) \times(n-m)$ blocks respectively. Then it is seen from equations (59) that all the elements of B and C are nilpotent, reminiscent of the fermionic blocks of the quantum supermatrices $\operatorname{SL}(m \mid n-m)$. But not all the commutation relations are the same as those of the supermatrices.

The quantum vector spaces associated with the $R$ matrix are characterized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i} x_{j}=q p_{i j} x_{j} x_{i} \quad i<j \quad x_{i}^{2}=0 \quad \text { for } q_{i}=-q^{-1} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the quantum vector space $A_{n}\left(q_{i}, p_{i j}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{i} \xi_{j}=-q^{-1} p_{i j} \xi_{j} \xi_{i} \quad i<j \quad \xi^{2}=0 \quad \text { for } q_{i}=q \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the dual quantum vector space $A_{n}^{*}\left(q_{i}, p_{i j}\right)$.
It is interesting to note that when a parameter $q_{i}$ is twisted, the corresponding coordinate in the quantum space $x_{i}$ becomes nilpotent while the corresponding coordinate in the dual quantum space, $\xi_{i}$ (which according to Wess and Zumino [14] should be interpreted as the differential form $\mathrm{d} x_{i}$ ) is no longer nilpotent.

Clearly the meaning of these non-standard quantum groups and their relation with superalgebras remains to be investigated more rigorously in the future. We discuss the relation of $X_{q}(\mathrm{sl}(n+m))$ and $U_{q}(\mathrm{sl}(n \mid m))$ in a future publication.
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## Appendix

Although it is straightforward to prove that our $R$ matrix solves QYBE, the calculations are lengthy. For the reader's convenience we give some hints. It is easier to verify that the $B$ matrix $B=P R$ satisfies the Braid equation.

$$
B_{12} B_{23} B_{12}=B_{23} B_{12} B_{23}
$$

The explicit form of $B$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\sum_{i \neq j} p_{j i}\left(e_{i j} \otimes e_{j i}\right)+\sum_{i} q_{i} e_{i i} \otimes e_{i i}+\left(q-q^{-1}\right) \sum_{i>j} e_{j j} \otimes e_{i i} . \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
P_{i j}= \begin{cases}p_{i j} & i \neq j \\ q_{i} & i=j\end{cases}
$$

then since $p_{i j} p_{j i}=1$ we have

$$
P_{i j} P_{j i}=\left(q_{i}{ }^{2}-1\right) \delta_{i j}+1
$$

The Braid equation has the following component form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{a b, n m} B_{m c, p k} B_{n p, i j}=B_{b c, m n} B_{a m, i p} B_{p n, j k} \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (A.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{a b, n m}=P_{b a} \delta_{a m} \delta_{b n}+\left(q-q^{-1}\right) \delta_{b m} \delta_{a n} \theta_{a b} \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\theta_{a b}= \begin{cases}1 & a<b \\ 0 & a \geqslant b\end{cases}
$$

Inserting (A.3) in (A.2) and using the following identity for the $\theta_{i j}$ symbols

$$
\theta_{i j} \theta_{i k}-\left(\theta_{i j} \theta_{j k}+\theta_{i k} \theta_{k j}\right)=\theta_{i k} \delta_{k j}
$$

one arrives at

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { LHS of (A.2) }= & \left(q-q^{-1}\right)^{2} q_{b} \delta_{a i} \delta_{b j} \delta_{c k} \delta_{b c} \theta_{c a} \\
& +\left(q-q^{-1}\right) q_{b} \delta_{a i} \delta_{b j} \delta_{c k} \delta_{b c} \theta_{c a}+\left(q-q^{-1}\right) \delta_{a i} \delta_{b j} \delta_{c k} \delta_{a b} \theta_{c a}
\end{aligned}
$$

RHS of (A.2) $=\left(q-q^{-1}\right)^{2} q_{b} \delta_{a i} \delta_{b j} \delta_{c k} \delta_{a b} \theta_{c a}$

$$
+\left(q-q^{-1}\right) q_{b}^{2} \delta_{a i} \delta_{b j} \delta_{c k} \delta_{b c} \theta_{c a}+\left(q-q^{-1}\right) \delta_{a i} \delta_{b j} \delta_{c k} \delta_{a b} \theta_{c a} .
$$

The two sides will be equal if

$$
1+q_{b}\left(q-q^{-1}\right)=q_{b}^{2}
$$

from which one obtains that any of the $q_{b}$ s can be $q$ or $-q^{-1}$.
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